CLARENDON 2 SCHOOL DISTRICT P.O. Box 1252 Manning, SC 29102 PK-12 GRADES 3.506 Students ENROLLMENT John E. Tindal 803-435-4435 SUPERINTENDENT BOARD CHAIR Mrs. Ethel Sweat 803-435-4435 FISCAL AUTHORITY Appointed by County Council THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Average	N/A

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced	Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations
Proficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations
Basic	Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
Below Basic	Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM											
		Our Distric	ct	Districts with Students Like Ours							
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003					
Passed all 3 subtests	60.7	62.4	63.1	53.8	53.5	52.2					
Passed 2 subtests	20.5	16.5	19.8	19.6	19.6	20.2					
Passed 1 subtest	8.6	11.9	11.7	15.0	15.0	15.2					
Passed no subtests	10.2	9.3	5.4	11.6	11.8	12.3					

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIPS										
Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours									
6.3	6.1									
6.3	6.2									
48.3	40.0									
	Our District 6.3 6.3									

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

Clarendon 2 School District								1402999
PACT PERFORMANCI	E BY GR						7.	cient and st
	/	Rent 1st ind By of Testing	/ do /	John Basic	/,6, /	Proficient of	Advanced olo Profi	cientand Advanced
	olly	ie, 463	lested ologic	OWL	Basic ol	Profit	PGASI. SLOW	cip dialic
	Em C	94/ 0/0	0/00				0/0/	K 4
H - 6 - 1 6 -				igiisii/Lai	iguage A			
Il students	1,591	99.4	38.9	45.1	15.2	0.8	16.0	17.6
Gender Male	700	99.4	46.2	42.2	11.5	0.1	11.7	17.6
riale Semale	783							
Racial/Ethnic Group	808	99.5	31.9	47.9	18.8	1.4	20.2	17.6
White	458	99.8	29.7	44.6	24.0	1.7	25.7	17.6
African-American		99.8	43.0	44.0	11.4	0.5	11.9	17.6
sian/Pacific Islander	1,105		43.0	45.1	11.4	0.5	11.9	17.6
dispanic	2	100.0	00.0	F0 0	04.0		04.0	
merican Indian/Alaskan	26	100.0	20.0	56.0	24.0		24.0	17.6
Disability Status		0.0						17.6
lot disabled	1 2/12	99.6	35.8	45.3	18.0	0.9	18.9	17.6
isabled	1,343	98.4	55.8	45.5 44.2	10.0	0.9	10.9	17.6
ligrant Status	248	98.4	შე.გ	44.2				17.0
Migrant Status		0.0						17.6
lon-migrant	4 504	99.4	39.0	45.1	15.0	0.8	15.8	17.6
nglish Proficiency	1,591	99.4	39.0	43.1	15.0	0.6	13.6	17.0
imited English proficient	5	100.0						17.6
on-limited English proficient		99.4	39.0	44.8	15.4	0.8	16.2	17.6
ocio-Economic Status	1,586	99.4	39.0	44.0	15.4	0.0	10.2	17.0
ubsidized meals	1,234	99.4	41.8	45.7	12.2	0.4	12.6	17.6
ull-pay meals	355	99.4	29.0	43.2	25.7	2.1	27.8	17.6
an pay modio	300	33.4	25.0	43.2	23.1	2.1	27.0	17.0
					matics			
Il students	1,591	100.0	32.5	49.0	13.2	5.3	18.5	15.5
ender								
lale .	783	100.0	34.3	49.2	12.7	3.8	16.5	15.5
emale	808	100.0	30.8	48.8	13.7	6.7	20.4	15.5
acial/Ethnic Group								
Vhite	458	100.0	20.6	46.7	19.9	12.9	32.8	15.5
frican-American	1,105	100.0	37.8	49.5	10.7	2.1	12.7	15.5
sian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0						15.5
lispanic	26	100.0	12.0	72.0	8.0	8.0	16.0	15.5
merican Indian/Alaskan		0.0						15.5
isability Status		400.0	00.1	50.0	45.0	6.4	04 =	4
lot disabled	1,343	100.0	28.1	50.3	15.6	6.1	21.7	15.5
isabled	248	100.0	56.7	42.0	0.4	0.9	1.3	15.5
ligrant Status								1
ligrant		0.0	0.5.5		46.5		16 -	15.5
on-migrant	1,591	100.0	32.6	48.9	13.2	5.2	18.5	15.5
nglish Proficiency		1000						
imited English proficient	5	100.0						15.5
Ion-limited English proficient	1,586	100.0	32.7	48.6	13.4	5.3	18.7	15.5
ocio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	1,234	100.0	35.4	49.6	11.8	3.2	15.0	15.5
ull-pay meals	355	100.0	22.7	46.9	18.0	12.4	30.4	15.5

Abbreviations for Missing Data

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

	Enron	840, 0/0	0/08	ste ok	0/0	0/0	olobio.
				/Langua	ge Arts		
▲ Grade 3	247		21.1	46.3	31.4	1.2	32.6
Grade 4	225		17.3	61.3	21.3		21.3
Grade 5	272		40.1	49.1	10.4	0.4	10.8
Grade 5 Grade 6	275		31.7	46.9	19.5	1.9	21.4
Grade 7	281		39.4	47.3	12.2	1.1	13.3
Grade 8	280		36.2	45.9	16.1	1.8	17.9
▲ Grade 3	247	100.0	18.9	41.4	37.4	2.2	39.6
Grade 4	239	100.0	30.9	50.2	17.6	1.3	18.9
	232	100.0	46.0	44.6	9.4		9.4
Grade 5 Grade 6	321	99.7	46.2	42.0	11.1	0.7	11.8
Grade 7	270	98.1	39.0	50.6	10.0	0.4	10.4
Grade 8	282	98.9	48.7	42.6	8.4	0.4	8.7

			Mathematics											
	Grade 3	247		15.6	57.2	18.1	9.1	27.2						
	Grade 4	225		29.9	48.2	14.3	7.6	21.9						
8	Grade 5	272		39.8	46.5	10.4	3.3	13.8						
2002	Grade 6	275		33.2	47.7	14.1	5.0	19.1						
	Grade 7	281		49.8	31.9	10.4	7.9	18.3						
•	Grade 8	280		40.9	50.9	5.4	2.9	8.2						
	Grade 3	247	100.0	15.0	57.3	17.2	10.6	27.8						
	Grade 4	239	100.0	22.7	61.8	11.6	3.9	15.5						
8	Grade 5	232	100.0	40.4	44.6	12.7	2.3	15.0						
2003	Grade 6	321	100.0	33.7	41.2	18.6	6.5	25.2						
	Grade 7	270	100.0	41.1	40.3	11.9	6.7	18.6						
	Grade 8	282	100.0	40.2	51.5	6.8	1.5	8.3						

STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

		Percentage of students scoring in the upper half, 2002											
	Rea	ding	Lang	uage	Ma	ath	Total						
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation					
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0					
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0					
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0					

^{*} Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

				Percent of students scoring									
			Adva	anced	Prof	Proficient Basic			Below Basic				
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation			
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25			
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14			
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34			

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibility Scholar		Gradua	tion Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	222	93.7%	174	6.3%	237	71.7%
Gender						
Male	85	91.8%	64	4.7%	98	63.3%
Female	136	94.9%	110	7.3%	139	77.7%
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	140	91.4%	103	4.9%	155	63.2%
Hispanic	3	I/S	2	I/S	3	I/S
White	76	97.4%	67	9.0%	77	88.3%
Other	N/A	N/A	2	I/S	2	I/S
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	3	I/S	8	0.0%	24	0.0%
Students without disabilities	218	93.6%	166	6.6%	0	79.8%
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	1	I/S	174	6.3%	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S
Non-LEP	217	93.5%	174	6.3%	236	72.0%
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	135	90.4%	78	2.6%	147	50.3%
Full-pay meals	82	98.8%	96	9.4%	90	99.0%

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Ver	bal	Ma	ath	Total		
	2002	2002 2003		2003	2002	2003	
District	477	457	465	465	942	922	
State	488	493	493	496	981	989	
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026	

ACT	English		Ma	Math		Reading		Science		Total	
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	15.5	16.8	16.4	16.9	15.2	17.8	16.7	17.4	16.1	17.4	
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

Students (n= 3,506) First graders who attended full-day kindergarten Retention rate Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	N/A 8.2% 95.9% N/A 7.5% N/A	N/A Down from 8.7% Down from 96.6% N/A	Districts with Students Like Ours N/A 5.0% 95.2%	Mediar District N/A 4.0%
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten Retention rate Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented	8.2% 95.9% N/A 7.5%	Down from 8.7% Down from 96.6%	5.0% 95.2%	
kindergarten Retention rate Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented	8.2% 95.9% N/A 7.5%	Down from 8.7% Down from 96.6%	5.0% 95.2%	
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented	95.9% N/A 7.5%	Down from 96.6%	95.2%	4.0%
Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards Eligible for gifted and talented	N/A 7.5%			
Eligible for gifted and talented	1.070		N/A	95.4% N/A
		Up from 3.5% N/A	6.1% N/A	10.7% N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A Up from 10.3%	N/A 10.4%	N/A 10.6%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	6.8%	Up from 5.9% Down from 0.9%	6.8% 1.6%	5.5% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	2.6% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.0% N/A
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	368	Up from 313	187	186
Completions in adult education GE or diploma programs	D 40	Up from 33	27	40
Teachers (n= 205)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	52.2% 84.4%	Up from 51.2% Down from 85.3%	43.5% 81.0%	47.8% 82.8%
Highly qualified teachers Feachers returning from previous y	N/A year 85.7%	N/A Up from 85.0%	N/A 87.4%	N/A 89.5%
Feacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.8% \$38,912	Up from 94.5% Up 2.5%	94.8% \$38,260	95.1% \$39,707
Prof. development days/teacher	13.9 days	Up from 9.2 days	12.6 days	11.3 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district Student-teacher ratio	1.5 20.8 to 1	Up from 1.0 Up from 11.3 to 1	2.0 20.0 to 1	3.0 20.6 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	95.7% \$6,341	Up from 89.9% Up 5.0%	87.8% \$7,559	89.0% \$7,412
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	58.8% Excellent	Up from 51.4% No change	53.9% Good	56.0% Excellen
Parents attending conferences Number of schools	81.2% 6	Up from 72.0% No change	92.6% 5	96.1% 8
Number of magnet schools Number of charter schools	0 1	No change No change	0 0	0
Portable classrooms Average age in years of school fac	0.0% ility 22	Down from 1.8% N/A	4.9% 34	3.5% 26
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	5	N/A	4	8
Prior year audited financial data are reported		Our Di		
Highly qualified teachers in low pover	erty schools	N/A	A N//	4
Highly qualified teachers in high po	overty schools	N/.	A N//	4

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership

9 trustees appointed

Fiscal Authority

Appointed by County Council

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 22.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Dear Parents and Community Members:

The Education Accountability Act (EAA) of 1998 mandated school districts to provide specific information about the schools and the district to parents and the community in the form of a report card. We are pleased to provide you with the third edition of Clarendon School District Two's Annual Report Card. We have continued to make progress in spite of the substantial state budget cuts we sustained during the past school year. The district and schools are required to develop an individual academic assistance plan for each student who scored at the below-basic level on PACT in reading and mathematics. It is our intent to provide remediation through extended day programs for students in need of academic assistance through grant funds as they are available. Higher academic achievement is our most important job, and this continues to be number one on our agenda. However, we will be challenged this year with staff reductions, increased class size, and a reduction in state funding. We are still held to some very lofty goals mandated by the No Child Left Behind Act and the Education Accountability Act at the same time of diminishing human and financial capital. However, we must prevail for our children with the prudent use of the resources we do have and with your support. We continue to seek your input and support. You are invited to visit our schools and volunteer to assist the administration and faculty.

Thank you for your continued cooperation and support.

Sincerely,

John Tindal Superintendent

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal